

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,850	02/10/2006	Chang Wook Kim	9988.299.00-US	7333
30827 7590 01/15/2009 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP		EXAMINER		
1900 K STREET, NW			GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/567,850	KIM, CHANG WOOK	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stephen M. Gravini	3743	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE	REPLY FILED 22 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🗵	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
	periods:
a)	The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the excitation date of the shortened statutory period for reply ordinally set in the final Office action; or (2) as

under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply reserved by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

NOTICE OF AFFEAT.

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

	(a)
	(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
	appeal; and/or
	(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4.	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. 🗵	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFF	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.11fe(e).
9.	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

/Stephen M. Gravini/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3743

13. Other: _

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

interview siumary mailed December 16, 2008.

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

11. \(\subseteq \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
The claims are construed to be anticipated by Sisler and obviated by each of the secondary references, as discussed in the

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims change the scope of the finally rejected claims such that it would require further consideration and/or search. The independently claimed invetion has been amended to include five additional features which weren't earlier claimed in independent form such that those five elements do not place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.